

Myo Plus TR pattern recognition Private Payer Reimbursement Guide



Myo Plus pattern recognition

Product Information

Myo Plus is currently available for transradial (TR) applications and is compatible with Ottobock’s bebionic hand, SensorHand Speed, MyoHand VariPlus Speed, and the System Electric Greifer DMC VariPlus.

Myo Plus Primary Components

- 13E520 Myo Plus TR
- 757B35=5 Myo Energy Integral
- 13E400 /401 Remote Electrodes
- 13Z161 /162 /163 Electrode Domes
- 560X18 Myo Plus App (android/IOS)

Who can provide the Myo Plus?

Myo Plus must be prescribed by a physician and may only be provided by a qualified Prosthetist who has received specific product training. Ottobock employs a team of orthotists and prosthetists to educate practitioners on fabricating and fitting our products. This includes in-person and online training, webinars, and technical bulletins. We also provide Cooperative Care Services for the more challenging fittings, which includes on-site assistance with the fitting in conjunction with product qualification training for the practitioner.

Warranty

Otto Bock HealthCare (Otto Bock) warrants all of its products, to the original purchaser, to be free from defects in materials and workmanship. Myo Plus comes with a Limited 12-month Warranty.

Health Canada Compliance

This device meets the requirements of the Medical Device Regulations (SOR/98-282). It has been classified as a class I medical device according to the classification criteria outlined in schedule 1 of the Medical Device Regulations.

FDA Classification

510(k) Number	K191179
Device Class	2
Regulation No.	21 CFR 882.1320
Classification	GXY (Electrode,
Product Code	Cutaneous)
Subsequent Code	IQZ (Hand, External Limb Component, Powered)
Medical Specialty	Neurology
Review Panel	Neurology



Myo Plus pattern recognition Billing Information (U.S. only)

^{1,2}HCPCS Coding

Currently, there is not a Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System (HCPCS) code to adequately describe Myo Plus pattern recognition. Therefore the following miscellaneous code must be used to describe it.

Short Description:

²L7499 Addition to UL prosthesis, Ottobock 13E520 Myo Plus Pattern Recognition System

Long Description:

²L7499 Addition to upper extremity external powered myoelectric prostheses; Ottobock 13E520 Myo Plus TR pattern recognition system; Multi-factor, layered processing of the user’s amplified natural EMG signal patterns captured by a multiple electrode array to produce patient-specific rule set algorithms, allowing Myo Plus to recognize individual patient muscle signal patterns which are translated to intuitively control several prosthetic hand functions/grip types and/or several prosthetic devices (e.g. prosthetic hand, wrist rotator, wrist flexion/extension) instantaneously. The microprocessor integrates system calibration, signal quality evaluation, filtering, conditioning, processing, recognition of multiple muscle activation patterns, and transmission of complex functional controls.

³Manufacturer Suggested Retail Price (MSRP) - U.S. only

2020 MSRP for Myo Plus Pattern Recognition System \$42,500

References

¹ The product/device “Supplier” (defined as an O&P practitioner, O&P patient care facility, or DME supplier) assumes full responsibility for accurate billing of Ottobock products. It is the Supplier’s responsibility to determine medical necessity; ensure coverage criteria is met; and submit appropriate HCPCS codes, modifiers, and charges for services/products delivered. It is also recommended that Supplier’s contact insurance payer(s) for coding and coverage guidance prior to submitting claims. Ottobock Coding Suggestions and Reimbursement Guides are based on reasonable judgment and are not recommended to replace the Supplier’s judgment. These recommendations may be subject to revision based on additional information or alpha-numeric system changes.

² It is not recommended to bill L7499 to Medicare for the Myo Plus at this time.

³ The manufacturer’s suggested retail pricing (MSRP) is a suggested retail price only. Ottobock has provided the suggested MSRP in the event that third-party and/or federal healthcare payers request it for reimbursement purposes. The practitioner and/or patient care facility is neither obligated nor required to charge the MSRP when submitting billing claims for third-party reimbursement for the product(s).

Myo Plus pattern recognition

Features and Benefits

Intuitive and Individualized Control

Myo Plus pattern recognition provides intuitive and individualized control by mapping a user’s unique muscle patterns and translating them directly into various movements of the prosthesis. For example, if the user thinks of opening his/her hand and activates the physiologic muscles used for that task, the system immediately translates these signals to open the prosthetic hand or terminal device. This innovative control is considerably easier to master, which may increase adoption rate, may reduce duration of training and accelerate a return to typical daily activities.

Elimination of Switching Events

Mode switching with traditional myo-electric control such as a hand and a powered wrist requires the user to either activate a physical switch (button, prosthesis digit manipulation), co-contract muscles to change grip patterns in the hand, or allow multiple components to be activated. Mode switching can be difficult and requires both training time and concentration. In some cases, the user cannot co-contract their muscles at all which inhibits prosthesis functionality and may lead to rejection or abandonment of the device. With Myo Plus pattern recognition, the user has direct mode selection and access to prosthetic movements without requiring cumbersome switching events or “mode switching”. It provides *direct and fast control* and decreases the cognitive and physical burden. This may increase utilization of certain movements such as wrist rotation. For example, the user may tie their shoelaces or button a shirt more easily and with less frustration. Increasing the ease and utility of the prosthesis may help increase overall acceptance rate and user satisfaction.

Improved Functionality and Ease of Use

Traditional myo-electric control is limited by the number of isolated, strong EMG signals and associated electrode sites (only 1 or 2). Some users have weak signals that fatigue quickly, neuropathy, or scarring that make electrode placement challenging. This inhibits prosthetic candidacy, acceptance rates and functionality. Alternatively, Myo Plus pattern recognition uses up to 8 myosite pairs which provide significantly more information as to the nature of the contraction rather than just amplitude to control the prosthesis. Any signal, even those considered “weak” by conventional myoelectric systems, can be as equally useful if they are present during specific activities. Pattern recognition has the potential to not only improve function for existing myo users, but also allow those previously unable to use myo electrics to do so.

Reduced Complexity

Clinicians no longer have to identify and place electrodes on specific anatomical targets to isolate strong signals. Weak signals are equally important because with pattern recognition, multiple myo-sites are working together. This enables the system to recognize and utilize the contraction of multiple muscles that are then recognized as patterns. Plus, the system can be recalibrated any time desired. This creates additional layers of data to further support accuracy of pattern recognition and system efficiency.

Additionally, if the relationship between limb and electrodes happens to change within the socket, the system can still function once it is recalibrated because the interpretation of the signals is not fixed to a specific location. The system adapts to the patient rather than the patient having to adapt to the system. This flexibility saves time and reduces overall complexity for both the Clinician and the user.

Myo Plus pattern recognition

Features and Benefits

Improved Speed and Proportional Control

Like traditional control, pattern recognition allows users to temper their muscle contractions to modulate the speed of the prosthesis. With traditional control, EMG signals are isolated and have to meet strict measurements to initiate a movement in the prosthesis. Weaker signals and other extraneous “noise” are intentionally filtered out. Myo Plus pattern recognition maps and utilizes multiple sets of data that are also used to enhance and provide proportional control. For example, the user can generate a “weak” signal and the hand will close more slowly around a glass. Multiple sets of data improve the efficiency of proportional control and provide greater utility of the device in everyday activities.

Reduced Cognitive Burden

Pattern recognition reduces the cognitive burden associated with traditional Myo electric control. Standard Myo users are required to concentrate deeply on difficult and unnatural myo signal patterns to fit the strict control method of 1 or 2 site control. They must adapt to the device. For example, many users struggle with the cumbersome nature of signal isolation to switch into rotation or different grip patterns. This results in a delay and interruption of movement, leading to low motivation while training and insufficient use. Due to this lack of practice, the user may lack confidence with the device control during everyday life and often abandon the prosthesis. Since Myo Plus pattern recognition only requires the user to think of and utilize innate and natural movements to operate their prosthesis, users are able to more easily and quickly control their device. Myo Plus unlocks the full potential of the user and their prosthesis because the device now adapts to the user instead of the other way around.

Real-time App Feedback and Adjustment

With traditional myo electric control, the prosthesis can be like a black box. There is no interface, visibility or feedback on the status of the system or the quality of control signals. With the *Myo Plus app* and patented Spider Plot EMG signal graphical interface, both users and clinicians are provided with real time feedback and the ability to make immediate adjustments. Once the muscles are mapped, the user can adjust the speed or sensitivity of the prosthesis or even activate or deactivate certain movements to suit a specific situation. Additionally, the user can now remotely troubleshoot their own control map. If there is interference suppression, such as electrode failure, the malfunction is displayed via the app. This allows the user and Clinician to quickly identify potential solutions. The Myo Plus app also includes some important safety features. To secure certain settings, the Myo Plus app has a Clinician Mode which requires a pin-code. Any adjustments of the Basic control set can only be adjusted by the Clinician.

Less Compensatory Movements

Users who use a body-powered or traditional myo electric prosthesis tend to over utilize their contralateral arm musculature to compensate for lack of flexibility and function with their device. For example, they may elevate their shoulder awkwardly when pouring water into a glass to avoid the strain and delay of using a wrist rotation device. Consistent and long term compensatory movements can lead to long term health complications. With the intuitive control of the Myo Plus pattern recognition system, users can increase the functionality, efficiency and speed of their prosthesis and potentially avoid the strain of unnatural compensatory movements. This in turn can promote increased use of their prosthesis in daily activities such as work and social activities.

Pattern Recognition Clinical Studies

1. Hahn A, Popovic I, Amsuess S, Bischof B, Fuchsberger T. Performance and satisfaction with intuitive multifunctional hand prosthesis control. Proceedings of the 17th World Congress of the International Society for Prosthetics and Orthotics; Oct, 2019; Kobe, Hyogo, Japan.
2. Franzke AW, Kristoffersen MB, Bongers RM, Murgia A, Pobatschnig B, Unglaube F, van der Sluis CK. Users' and therapists' perceptions of myoelectric multi-function upper limb prostheses with conventional and pattern recognition control. PLoS ONE. 2019;14(8):e0220899. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0220899>
3. Leone F, Gentile C, Ciancio AL, Gruppioni E, Davalli A, Sacchetti R, Guglielmelli E and Zollo L. Simultaneous sEMG classification of hand/wrist gestures and forces. Front Neurobot. 2019;13:42. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fnbot.2019.00042>
4. Resnik L, Huang H, Winslow A, Crouch DL, Zhang F, Wolk N. Evaluation of EMG pattern recognition for upper limb prosthesis control: A case study in comparison with direct myoelectric control. J Neuroeng Rehabil. 2018;15:23 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12984-018-0361-3>
5. Franzke A, Bongers R, Pobatschnig B, Unglaube F, Kranzl A, Murgia A, van der Sluis C. Upper limb myoelectric prosthesis: User and therapist perspectives on quantifying benefits of pattern recognition control. Proceedings of the Myoelectric Controls and Upper Limb Prosthetics Symposium (MEC); Aug, 2017; University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada. [download](#)
6. Amsuess S, Sreckovic I, Bischof B, Fuchsberger T. Performance and satisfaction with intuitive multifunctional hand prosthesis control. Proceedings of the Myoelectric Controls and Upper Limb Prosthetics Symposium (MEC); Aug, 2017; University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada. [download](#)
7. Baschuk C, Katzenberger L, Latour D, Passero T, Tompkins E. Outcomes of the clinical application of pattern recognition in upper limb prosthetics; A two-year retrospective. Proceedings of the Myoelectric Controls and Upper Limb Prosthetics Symposium (MEC); Aug, 2017; University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada. [download](#)
8. Uellendahl J, Tyler J, Hung K. A case series study of pattern recognition for upper-limb prosthesis control. Proceedings of the 42nd Annual Meeting and Scientific Symposium of the American Academy of Orthotists and Prosthetists; March, 2016; Orlando, FL. [download](#)
9. Soulis J, Chen M, Jones N, Steward G. Utilizing pattern recognition to improve myoelectric control: A case study. The Academy TODAY. 2015;11(3):A11-A13.
10. Deeny S, Chicoine C, Hargrove L, Parrish T, Jayaraman A. Simple ERP method for quantitative analysis of cognitive workload in myoelectric prosthesis control and human-machine interaction. PLoS One 9(11): e112091. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0112091>
11. Wurth SM, Hargrove LJ. A real-time comparison between direct control, sequential pattern recognition control and simultaneous pattern recognition control using a Fitts' law style assessment procedure. J Neuroeng Rehabil. 2014;11(1):91-91. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1743-0003-11-91>

Pattern Recognition Clinical Studies

12. Scheme E, Lock B, Hargrove L, Hill W, Kuruganti U, Englehart K. Motion normalized proportional Control for improved pattern recognition-based myoelectric control. IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabil Eng. 2014 Jan;22(1):149-157. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TNSRE.2013.2247421>
13. Simon AM, Lock BA, Stubblefield KA. Patient training for functional use of pattern recognition–controlled prostheses. J Prosthet Orthot. 2102Apr;24(2):56-64. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/JPO.0b013e3182515437>
14. Simon AM, Stern K, Hargrove L. A Comparison of Proportional Control Methods for Pattern Recognition Control. Proceedings of the Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society; Aug, 2011; Boston, MA. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/IEMBS.2011.6090909>
15. Simon AM, Lock BA, Stubblefield KA, Hargrove LJ. Prosthesis-Guided Training Increases Functional Wear Time and Improves Tolerance to Malfunctioning Inputs of Pattern Recognition–Controlled Prostheses. Myoelectric Symposium (MEC); Aug, 2011; University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada. [download](#)
16. Hargrove L, Scheme E, Englehart K, Hudgins B. Multiple Binary Classifications via Linear Discriminant Analysis for Improved Controllability of a Powered Prosthesis. IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabil Eng. 2010;18(1):49-57. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TNSRE.2009.2039590>
17. Farrell, TR, Weir RF. A Comparison of the Effects of Electrode Implantation and Targeting on Pattern Classification Accuracy for Prosthesis Control. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng. 2008;55(9):2198-2211. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TBME.2008.923917>
18. Hargrove L, Losier Y, Lock BA, Englehart K, Hudgins B. A Real-Time Pattern Recognition Based Myoelectric Control Usability Study Implemented in a Virtual Environment. Proceedings of the 29th Annual International Conference of the IEEE- EMBS; Aug, 2007; Lyons, France. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/IEMBS.2007.4353424>
19. Hargrove LJ, Englehart K, Hudgins B. A Comparison of Surface and Intramuscular Myoelectric Signal Classification. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng. 2007;54(5): 847-853. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TBME.2006.889192>

For reimbursement assistance contact:

Ottobock Reimbursement North America
 P 800 328 4058 F 800 962 2549
reimbursement911@ottobock.com