

Bibliography

Microprocessor-Controlled Prosthetic Ankle

1. Kaluf B, Duncan A, Bridges W. Comparative effectiveness of microprocessor-controlled and carbon-fiber energy-storing-and-returning prosthetic feet in persons with unilateral transtibial amputations: Patient-reported outcome measures. *J Prosthet Orthot* 2020; in print.
2. Thomas-Pohl M, Villa C, Davot J, Bonnet X, Facione J, Lapeyre E, Bascou J, Pillet H. Microprocessor prosthetic ankles: comparative evaluation of people with transtibial traumatic amputation during standing on level ground and slope. *Disabil Rehabil Assist Technol* 2019 Sep 19:1-10. doi: 10.1080/17483107.2019.1629112. [Epub ahead of print]
3. Wurdeman SR, Stevens PM, Campbell JH. Mobility analysis of Amputees (MAAT 5): Impact of five common prosthetic ankle-foot categories for individuals with diabetic/dysvascular amputation. *J Rehabil Assist Technol Eng* 2019 Feb 13;6:2055668318820784. doi: 10.1177/2055668318820784. eCollection 2019 Jan-Dec.
4. Lamers EP, Eveld ME, Zelik KE. Subject-specific responses to an adaptive ankle prosthesis during incline walking. *J Biomech* 2019 Oct 11;95:109273. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiomech.2019.07.017. Epub 2019 Jul 26.
5. McGrath M, Davies KC, Laszczak P, Rek B, McCarthy J, Zahedi S, Moser D. The influence of hydraulic ankles and microprocessor control on the biomechanics of trans-tibial amputees during quiet standing on a 5° ramp. *Can Prosthet Orthot J* 2019;2(2):2. <https://doi.org/10.33137/cpoj.v2i2.33517>
6. McGrath M, Laszczak P, Zahedi S, Moser D. The influence of a microprocessor controlled hydraulic ankle on the kinetic symmetry of trans-tibial amputees during ramp walking: a case series. *J Rehabil Assist Technol Eng* 2018 Oct 8;5:2055668318790650. doi: 10.1177/2055668318790650. eCollection 2018 Jan-Dec.
7. Bai X, Ewins D, Crocombe AD, Xu W. Biomechanical assessment of hydraulic ankle-foot devices with and without microprocessor control during slope ambulation in trans-femoral amputees. *PloS One* 2018 Oct 5;13(10):e0205093. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0205093. eCollection 2018.
8. Abdulhasan ZM, Scally AJ, Buckley JG. Gait termination on a declined surface in trans-femoral amputees: Impact of using a microprocessor-controlled limb system. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)* 2018 Aug;57:35-41. doi: 10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2018.05.015. Epub 2018 May 30.
9. Alexander N, Strutzenberger G, Kröll J, Barnett C, Schwameder H. Joint moments during downhill und uphill walking of a person with trans-femoral amputation with a hydraulic articulating and a rigid prosthetic ankle – a case study. *J Prosthet Orthot* 2018;30(1):46-54.
10. Schmalz T, Altenburg B, Ernst M, Bellmann M, Rosenbaum D. Lower limb amputee gait characteristics on a specifically designed ramp: Preliminary results of a biomechanical comparison of two prosthetic foot concepts. *Gait Posture* 2019 Feb;68:161-167. doi: 10.1016/j.gaitpost.2018.11.017. Epub 2018 Nov 16.

Bibliography

Microprocessor-Controlled Prosthetic Ankle

11. Ernst M, Altenburg B, Bellmann M, Schmalz T. Standing on slopes – how current microprocessor-controlled prosthetic feet support transtibial and transfemoral amputees in everyday tasks. *J NeuroEngin Rehabil* 2017 Nov 16;14(1):117. doi: 10.1186/s12984-017-0322-2.
12. Hahn A, Sreckovic I, Reiter S, Mileusnic M. First results concerning the safety, walking and satisfaction with an innovative, microprocessor-controlled four axes prosthetic foot. *Prosthet Orthot Int* 2018 Jun;42(3):350-356. doi: 10.1177/0309364617747976. Epub 2018 Feb 5.
13. Alexander N, Strutzenberger G, Kroell J, Barnett CT, Schwameder H. Joint moments during downhill and uphill walking of a person with transfemoral amputation with a hydraulic articulating and a rigid prosthetic ankle – a case study. *J Prosthet Orthot* 2018;30(1):46-54.
14. Struchkov V, Buckley JG. Biomechanics of ramp descent in unilateral trans-tibial amputees: Comparison of a microprocessor-controlled foot with conventional ankle-foot mechanisms. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)* 2016 Feb;32:164-170. doi: 10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2015.11.015. Epub 2015 Dec 5.
15. Rosenblatt NJ, Bauer A, Rotter D, Grabiner MD. Active dorsiflexing prostheses may reduce trip-related fall risk in people with transtibial amputation *J Rehabil Res Dev* 2014; 51(8): 1229-1242.
16. Darter BJ, Wilken JM. Energetic consequences of using a prosthesis with adaptive ankle motion during slope walking in persons with a transtibial amputation. *Prosthet Orthot Int* 2014; 38(1):5-11. DOI: 10.1177/0309364613481489.
17. Agrawal V, Gailey R, O’Toole C, Gaunard I, Finnieston A, Tolchin R. Comparison of four different categories of prosthetic feet during ramp ambulation in unilateral transtibial amputees. *Prosthet Orthot Int* 2014; Jun 12. pii: 0309364614536762. [Epub ahead of print]
18. Agrawal V, Gailey R, O’Toole C, Gaunard I, Finnieston A. Influence of gait training and prosthetic foot category on external work symmetry during unilateral transtibial gait. *Prosthet Orthot Int* 2013; 37(5): 396-403.
19. Agrawal V, Gailey R, O’Toole C, Gaunard I, Finnieston A. Comparison between microprocessor-controlled ankle/foot and conventional prosthetic feet during stair negotiation in people with unilateral transtibial amputation. *J Rehabil Res Dev* 2013; 50(7): 941-950.
20. Delussu AS, Brunelli S, Paradisi F, Iosa M, Pellegrini R, Zenardi D, Traballese M. Assessment of the effects of carbon fiber and bionic foot during overground and treadmill walking in transtibial amputees. *Gait Posture* 2013; 38: 876-882.
21. Gailey RS, Gaunard I, Agrawal V, Finnieston A, O’Toole C, Tolchin R: Application of self-report and performance-based outcome measures to determine functional differences between four categories of prosthetic feet. *J Rehabil Res Dev* 2012;49(4):597-612.

Bibliography

Microprocessor-Controlled Prosthetic Ankle

22. Fradet L, Alimusaj M, Braatz F, Wolf SI. Biomechanical analysis of ramp ambulation of transtibial amputees with an adaptive ankle system. *Gait Posture* 2010; 32: 191-198.
23. Agrawal V, Gailey R, O´Toole C, Gaunard I, Dowell T. Symmetry in External Work (SEW): A novel method of quantifying gait differences between prosthetic feet. *Prosthet Orthot Int* 2009; 33(2): 148-156.
24. Alimusaj M, Fradet L, Braatz F, Gerner HJ, Wolf SJ. Kinematics and kinetics with an adaptive ankle foot system during stair ambulation of transtibial amputees. *Gait Posture* 2009; 30: 356-363.
25. Wolf SI, Alimusaj M, Fradet L, Siegel J, Braatz F. Pressure characteristics at the stump/socket interface in transtibial amputees using an adaptive prosthetic foot. *Gait Posture* 2009; 24: 860-865